## The Structure of Domain Theories

A **domain theory** (or abstract knowledge structure) consists of a domain of objects, and axioms and rules which define the symbolic interaction between the symbolic form of these objects. In particular, a domain theory consists of:

1. A collection of *symbols*, including

constants variables naming arbitrary forms functions relations

- 2. *Generation axioms* These define the typing hierarchy of forms
- 3. Uniqueness axioms

These define how forms stay the same when they are manipulated, and how forms are composed of atomic units.

4. Special axioms

These define the characteristics of special types.

5. An Induction Principle

This rule template is the mechanism which allows construction and deconstruction of arbitrary forms, and provides an algebraic (abstract) approach to domain forms.

For proof and for programming, several **composition** tools are then proved/provided for construction and deconstruction.

### 6. Decomposition

Permission to take apart an arbitrary form into atomic components and functions to do the construction/deconstruction.

7. Equality under Decomposition

Equal forms don't change if you do equivalent things to them. Generally, forms are **mappable**, you can map a function across the atomic parts.

8. Special functions as theorems

With the above basis (1-7), we now begin to build specialized functions (macros) which make it easier to take large steps while manipulating forms. A recursive definition axiom says what we mean by the new function in terms of the basis functions. Then other theorems relate all the other mechanisms to the new function. Generally each new function has analogous axioms for each item above.

### Abstract Domain Theory: STRINGS

Here is the **Theory of Strings** as an example. Note that the **Theory of Sequences** and the **Theory of Non-Embedded Lists** are almost identical.

Constants: the Empty string {E} Variables (typed): {u,v,...} characters strings  $\{x, y, z, ...\}$ Functions: {., head, tail, \*, rev, rev-accum, butlast, last} is prefix, attach a character to the front of a string \* is concatenate, attach a string to the front of another string [the rest are defined below as special functions] Relations: {isString, isChar, isEmpty, =} test for the empty string isEmpty[x] test for valid character isChar[x] test for valid string isString[x] GeneratorFacts: isString[E] isString[u] isString[u·x] Uniqueness:  $not(u \cdot x = E)$ if  $(u \cdot x = v \cdot y)$  then u = v and x = ySpecial char axiom:  $u \cdot E = u$  $E \cdot u = u$ Decomposition: if not(x=E) then (x =  $u \cdot y$ )  $head[u \cdot x] = u$  $tail[u \cdot x] = x$ if not(x=E) then (x = head[x]·tail[x]) Decompose equality: if (u=v) then  $(u \cdot x = v \cdot x)$ if (x=y) then  $(u \cdot x = u \cdot y)$ Mapping:  $F[u \cdot x] = F[u] \cdot F[x]$ 

The String Induction Principle:

```
if F[E] and
    forall x: if not[x=E],
    then if F[tail[x]] then F[x]
then forall x: F[x]
```

Recursion, mapping:

F[E]	base	
$F[u \cdot x] = F[u] \cdot F[x]$	general1	
$F[x] = F[head[x]] \cdot F[tail[x]]$	general2	

Pseudo-code for testing *string equality*, using the Induction and Recursion templates for binary relations

```
if =[E,E] and
forall x,y:
    if (not[x=E] and not[y=E]),
        then if (=[head[x],head[y]] and =[tail[x],tail[y]])
            then =[x,y]
    then forall x,y: =[x,y]
=[E,E] base
=[x,y] = =[head[x],head[y]] and =[tail[x],tail[y]] general1
=[a,b] =def=
        (a=E and b=E)
        or (=[head[a],head[b]] and =[tail[a],tail[b])
```

Some axioms and theorems for specialized functions

*Concatenate*, \*, for joining strings together:

$E \star x = x$ , $x \star E = x$	base definition
$(u \cdot x) * y = u \cdot (x * y)$	recursive definition
isString[x*y]	type
$u * x = u \cdot x$	character special
$x^{*}(y^{*}z) = (x^{*}y)^{*}z$	associativity
if $x*y = E$ , then $x=E$ and $y=E$	empty string
<pre>if not(x=E) then head[x*y] = head[x]</pre>	head
<pre>if not(x=E) then tail[x*y] = tail[x]*y</pre>	tail

*Reverse*, rev, for turning strings around:

rev[E] = E	base definition
<pre>rev[u·x] = rev[x]*u</pre>	recursive definition
<pre>isString[rev[x]]</pre>	type
rev[u] = u	character special
<pre>rev[x*y] = rev[y]*rev[x]</pre>	concatenation
<pre>rev[rev[x]] = x</pre>	double reverse
<pre>rev[x*u] = u·rev[x]</pre>	suffix

*Reverse-accumulate*, reverse the tail and prefix the head onto the accumulator:

<pre>rev-acc[x,E] = rev[x]</pre>	identicality
rev-acc[E,x] = x	base definition
<pre>rev-acc[u·x,y] = rev-acc[x,u·y]</pre>	recursive definition

Last and Butlast, for symmetrical processing of the end of a string:

<pre>butlast[x*u] = x</pre>	definition
<pre>last[x*u] = u</pre>	definition
<pre>if not(x=E) then isString[butlast[x]]</pre>	type
<pre>if not(x=E) then char[last[x]]</pre>	type
<pre>if not(x=E) then x = butlast[x]*last[x]</pre>	decomposition
<pre>if not(x=E) then butlast[x] = rev[tail[re</pre>	v[x]]] tail reverse
<pre>if not(x=E) then last[x] = head[rev[x]]</pre>	head reverse

Here is a function which mixes two domains, Strings and Integers:

*Length*, for counting the number of characters in a string

```
length[E] = 0
length[u·x] = length[x] + 1
length[x*y] = length[x] + length[y]
```

## A symbolic proof by induction

To prov	<pre>/e: rev[rev[x]] = x</pre>	x is of type STRING
Base ca	se:	Rule applied:
	rev[rev[E]] =?= E	1. problem
	rev[E] =?= E	2. rev[E] = E
	E =?= E	3. rev[E] = E
	QED	4. identity
Inductiv	/e case:	
	<pre>rev[rev[x]] =?= x</pre>	1. problem
	rev[rev[u·x]] = u·x	2. assume by induction rule
	rev[rev[x]*u] = u·x	3. rev[a●b] = rev[b]*a
	rev[u]*rev[rev[x]] = u·x	4. rev[a*b] = rev[b]*rev[a]
	u*rev[rev[x]] = u·x	5. rev[a] = a a is a char
	u·rev[rev[x]] = u·x	6. lemma a*b=a∙b a is a char
	<pre>rev[rev[x]] = x</pre>	7. $a \bullet b = a \bullet c$ iff $b = c$
	QED	

#### Lemma:

u*x =?= u·x	1. problem
$(u \cdot x) * y = u \cdot (x * y)$	2. prefix/concatenate distribution
$(u \cdot E) * y = u \cdot (E * y)$	3. let x=E
$u*y = u \cdot (E*y)$	4. a∙E = a
u*y = u·y	5. E*a = a
QED	

Predicate	es atom[ tree[		
Construc	tor +[x,y	·]	
Uniquene	not[a	tom[+[x,y]]] -[x1,x2] = +[y1,y2]) then (x1=y1 and x2=y2)	
Left and	left[	<pre>ht     left[+[x,y]] = x     right[+[x,y]] = y</pre>	
Decompo		n if not[atom[x]] then x = +[left[x],right[x]]	
Inductior	if F[	atom] and f F[x1] and F[x2] then F[+[x1,x2]]) F[x]	
Some recursive tree functions			
<pre>size[x]</pre>	=def=	<pre>size[atom[x]] = 1; size[+[x,y]] = size[x] + size[y] + 1</pre>	
leaves[	x] =def=	<pre>leaves[atom[x]] = 1; leaves[+[x,y]] = leaves[x] + leaves[y]</pre>	
depth[x	] =def=	<pre>depth[atom[x]] = 1; depth[+[x,y]] = max[depth[x],depth[y]] + 1</pre>	
(pseudocode for	leaves)		

## Abstract Domain Theory: TREES

```
else if atom[x] then 1
else leaves[left[x]] + leaves[right[x]]
(pseudocode for leaves-accumulate)
    leaves-acc[x,res] =def=
        if empty[x] then res
        else if atom[x] then leaves-acc[(), res + 1]
```

leaves[x] =def= if empty[x] then 0

## else leaves-acc[right[x], res + leaves-acc[left[x]]]

### Abstract Domain Theory: SETS

An set implementation with the functions Insert, Delete, and Member is called a *dictionary*.

#### Mathematical model:

 $s = \{x \mid <statement about x>\}$  extensional, collection defined by common property  $s = \{a, b, c, ...\}$  intensional, collection defined by naming the members

empty set:not (x in S)forall xmembership:x in S =def= x=s1 or x=s2 or x=s3 or ...subset:if (x in S1) then (x in S2)union:(x in S1) or (x in S2)intersection:(x in S1) and (x in S2)difference:(x in S1) and not(x in S2)

recursive set membership:

```
x in S =def=
    not[x=empty-set]
    and
    x = get-one[S] or (x in rest[S])
```

Implementation functions:

```
Make-empty-set
Make-set[elements]
Insert[element,set]
Delete[element,set]
Equal[set1,set2]
```

Cardinality[set] = count of members

Characteristic function F:

(F[x] = 1 iff x in S) and (F[x] = 0 iff not(x in S))

#### Algebraic Specification of Sets:

This algebraic specification is also a functional implementation (ie code) in a programming language designed for formal verification.

theory TRIVIAL is <u>sorts</u> Elt endtheory TRIVIAL module BASICSET [ELT :: TRIVIAL] is Set <u>sorts</u> functions Phi, Universe : Set Elt -> Set { }: (<u>assoc comm ident</u>: 0) \_ intersect \_ : Set, Set -> Set (assoc comm idem ident: Universe) <u>variables</u> S,S',S'': Set Elt,Elt': Elt axioms (S sym-diff S) = Phi {Elt} intersect {Elt'} = Phi :- not(Elt = Elt') S intersect Phi = Phi S intersect (S' sym-diff S'') = (S intersect S') sym-diff (S intersect S'') endmodule BASICSET module SET [X :: TRIVIAL] using NAT, BASICSET[X] is functions \_ union \_ : Set, Set -> Set \_ - \_ : Set, Set -> Set \_ - \_ : #\_ : Set -> Nat predicates \_ member \_: Elt, Set \_ subset \_: Set, Set empty : Set \_ not-member \_ : Elt, Set

variables
X: Elt
S,S',S'': Set
axioms
S union S' = ((S intersect S') sym-diff S) sym-diff S'
A - S' = S intersect (S sym-diff S')
empty(S) :- S = Phi
X member S :- {X} union S = S
X not-member S :- {X} intersect S = Phi
S subset S' :- S union S' = S'
# Phi = 0
#({X} sym-diff S) = #(S) - 1 :- X member S
#({X} sym-diff S) = #(S) + 1 :- X not-member S

```
endmodule SET
```

# Abstract Domain Theory: RATIONAL NUMBERS

base recognizer constructor accessor	0 is-number[n] +1[n] -1[n]
some invariants	<pre>is-number[n] or not[is-number[n]] is-number[+1[n]] is-number[0] +1[n] =/= 0 (is-number[n] and n =/= 0) implies (+1[-1[n]] = n) is-number[n] implies (-1[+1[n]] = n)</pre>
induction	if F[0] and (F[n] implies F[-1[n]]) then F[n]

module BASICRAT using INT is

<u>sorts</u>	Rat
<u>subsorts</u>	Int =< Rat
<u>functions</u>	
_ / _ :	Int, NzInt -> Rat
_ * _ :	Rat, Rat -> Rat ( <u>assoc</u> <u>commut</u> <u>ident</u> : 1)
_ + _ :	Rat, Rat -> Rat ( <u>assoc comm ident</u> : 0)
variables	
N,X,Z:	Int
Y,W:	NzInt
A:	NzNat

#### Applied Formal Methods

```
axioms
nzint(Y*W)
N/1 = N
0/Y = 0
N/(-A) = (-N)/A
X/Y = (X/gcd(X,Y))/(Y/gcd(X,Y)) :- not(gcd(X,Y)=1)
(X/Y)+(Z/W) = ((X*W)+(Z*Y))/(Y*W)
N+(X/Y) = ((N*Y)+X)/Y
(X/Y)*(Z/W) = (X*Z)/(Y*W)
N*(X/Y) = (N*X)/Y
```

endmodule BASICRAT